IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO LAS CRUCES DIVISION

SANJUANA QUIROZ, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated

PLAINTIFF

VS.

No. 2:21-cv-1197-MIS-DLM

DCT ENTERPRISES OF NEW MEXICO, LLC

DEFENDANT

RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE, ECF NO. 12

Plaintiff Sanjuana Quiroz ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorney Josh Sanford of Sanford Law Firm, PLLC, and for her Response to Show Cause Order, ECF No. 12, does hereby state and allege as follows:

- Plaintiff filed her Complaint against Defendant DCT Enterprises of New Mexico, LLC, on December 17, 2021, attaching a Summons for service on Defendant.
 See ECF No. 1.
- 2. On January 20, 2022, Plaintiff filed the Return of Summons which had been returned executed by a private process server. *See* ECF No. 3.
- 3. The process server delivered the Summons to manager Jeremy Roths, on behalf of Defendant. See id.
- 4. On May 16, 2022, Plaintiff sought the Clerk's Entry of Default against Defendant, citing Defendant's lack of any response to Plaintiff's Complaint. See ECF No.
- 5. The Clerk entered default against Defendant pursuant to Rule 55(a) on June 14, 2022. See ECF No. 6.

6. On August 22, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Default Judgment, seeking

recovery against Defendant consistent with the allegations in the Complaint. See ECF

No. 9.

7. On February 3, 2023, this Court entered an Order denying Plaintiff's Motion

due to insufficient evidence and pleading of Jeremy Roths' ability to accept service on

behalf of Defendant. See ECF No. 12. The Court further ordered Plaintiff to show cause

why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute on account of a failure to

timely serve Defendant consistent with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure. See id.

8. F.R.C.P. 4(e)(1) allows service by "following state law for serving a

summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the

district court is located or where service is made."

9. Under NMRA 1-004(G)(1), "[s]ervice may be made upon... a domestic or

foreign corporation, a limited liability company or an equivalent business entity by serving

a copy of the process to an officer, a managing or a general agent or to any other agent

authorized by appointment, by law or by this rule to receive service of process."

10. Further, Section 1-004(G)(2) of the NMRA provides that, if none of the

previously listed individuals are available, "service may be made by delivering a copy of

the process or other papers to be served at the principal office or place of business during

regular business hours to the person in charge."

11. Under both Sections 1-004(G)(1) and (2), proper service was made upon

DCT Enterprises of New Mexico, LLC in January of last year.

12. In support of this Motion, Plaintiff provides the Declaration of Juan Rivera,

attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Juan Rivera ("Rivera") was the process server tasked with

serving Defendant with the summons issued by the Court.

13. The address listed for the Defendant's registered agent, Charles V.

Campbell, on the New Mexico Secretary of State's website is "110 Coors NW,

Albuquerque, NM 87120."

14. On January 12, 2022, Rivera arrived at the establishment of Defendant

during its regular business hours, finding it to be an active and operational Papa John's

pizza restaurant location.

15. Upon entering Defendant's establishment, Rivera specifically asked for

Defendant's registered agent, Charles V. Campbell, and was informed that Mr. Campbell

was unavailable because he was not present.

16. Rivera then asked if there was any other officer present and available to

accept service.

17. Mr. Jeremy Roths was identified as the manager and person in charge of

the establishment at that time.

18. Relying on the above identification, and pursuant to Section 1-004(G)(2) of

the NMRA, Mr. Rivera proceeded to serve the summons and Complaint on Jeremy Roths.

See Montano v. Moving Express & Storage, Inc., No. A-1-CA-39179, 2022 N.M. App.

Unpub. LEXIS 429, *5 (N.M. Ct. App. Nov. 30, 2022)(Finding that service was proper

under Section 1-004(G) when process server attempted to serve agent authorized by

appointment of business at designated office but, upon such a person being unavailable,

served an employee apparently in charge of Defendant's office).

Page 3 of 4
Sanjuana Quiroz, et al. v. DCT Enterprises of New Mexico, LLC
U.S.D.C. (D. N. Mexico) No. 2:21-cv-1197-MIS-DLM
Response to Order to Show Cause, ECF No. 12

19. Given Mr. Riveria's arrival during regular business hours, Mr. Campbell's unavailability, and Mr. Roth's position as person in charge, the service upon Mr. Jeremy Roth on January 12, 2022, was sufficient under Section 1-004(G)(2) of the NMRA.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Plaintiff respectfully prays that this Court grant Plaintiff's August 22, 2023 Motion for Default Judgement against Defendant DCT Enterprises of New Mexico, LLC.

Respectfully submitted,

PLAINTIFF SANJUANA QUIROZ

SANFORD LAW FIRM, PLLC Kirkpatrick Plaza 10800 Financial Centre Pkwy, Suite 510 Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Telephone: (800) 615-4946 Facsimile: (888) 787-2040

/s/ Josh Sanford
Josh Sanford
Ark. Bar No. 2001037
josh@sanfordlawfirm.com